







Evaluation of chemical eggs components in local poultry breeds and development of NIR spectroscopic technique for the prediction of the biochemical composition.

Filippo Cendron¹, Sarah Currò¹, Chiara Rizzi¹, Martino Cassandro¹

¹Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural resources, Animals and Environment, University of Padova, Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro, PD, Italy.

Conclusion

These results made possible a complete characterization of eggs from eight indigenous chicken breeds by a chemical and physical point of view. Moreover, the obtained data and analysis through Near-Infrared spectroscopy (NIR) can be used as an innovative approach to predict the chemical composition of eggs from local hens, that could be used as a discriminatory analysis.

Material and methods

- 50 eggs per breed at 49-50 weeks of age;
- Dry matter analyzed according to the AOAC 20th edition 2016 n. 925.30 (Ch 34 p.2 solids total in eggs);
- Ashes analyzed according to the AOAC 20th edition 2016 n. 920.153;
- Protein fraction analyzed according to the AOAC 20th edition 2016 n. 925.31 (Ch 34 p.2 Nitrogen in eggs Kjeldhal method);
- Lipid fraction analyzed according to the AOAC 20th edition 2016 n. 991.31;
- Samples, both fresh and lyophilized, were analyzed individually in the near infrared via NIRS DS2500 (FOSS);
- WinISI, software was use to develop calibration curves through cross-validation method.

Prediction accuracy of freeze-dried and fresh egg yolk matrix, respectively Trait SLev R'ev

Prediction accuracy of freeze-dried and fres egg albumen matrix, respectively						
Lipid	0.8637	0.7386	Lipid	0.7597	0.5659	
Protein	0.3382	0.9017	Protein	0.3369	0.6176	
Ashes	0.2734	0.1048	Ashes	0.1372	0.0790	
Moisture	0.3096	0.8440	Moisture	0.6925	0.3021	
Dry matter	0.3096	0.8440	Dry matter	0.6858	0.2995	

egg anounten matrix, respectively				.,	
Trait	SEcv	R ² ev	Trait	SEcv	R ² ev
Dry matter	0.3467	0.9517	Dry matter	0.6117	0.6623
Moisture	0.3467	0.9517	Moisture	0.6117	0.6623
Ashes	0.2532	0.6270	Ashes	0.0504	0.2346
Protein	1.0967	0.4864	Protein	0.5345	0.6040

SEcv, standard error of cross-validation; R²cv, coefficient of determination of crossvalidation



Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the project: "Protection of biodiversity of Italian poultry breeds". "TuBaVi - 2014 – 2020. PSRN - Support for the conservation, use and sustainable development of genetic resources in agriculture. Sub-measure 10.2. The project 'Conservation of biodiversity in Italian poultry breeds' (TuBAV) is dedicated to the safeguard, conservation and improvement of the Italian poultry genetic resources, represented by many autochthonous breeds historically present in the Country. Aim

Analyses of the quality eggs traits and development infrared prediction models of Italian local chickens breeds



Dorata (PPD)



Rovigo (PER)

Tab.1 - White Shell Eggs: Physical

Characteristics on Breed Comparisons

PPD

56.3

9 111

56.8ª

34.0

0.60

1.67

Tab.3 - White Shell Eggs: Chemical

Characteristics on Breed Comparisons

PPD

Yolk

50.1

16.5ª

32.1

1.77^{ab}

89.5^{sb}

8.82

< 0.01

0.692

Albur

PPO

52.7^b

941

57.9

32.6

0.568

8.33

PPC

49.4

16.3ª

33.0^a

1.898

88.9

9.33

< 0.01

0.702

Egg weight, g

Albumen, %

Yolk/albumen

Meat spots, % Blood spots, %

Moisture, %

Protein, %

Moisture, %

Protein. %

Lipid, %

Ashes, %

Lipid, % Ashes, %

Shell,%

Yolk, %



Padovana Camosciata (PPC)



Maculata (PRM)

PPN

49.9°

10.3

55.9b

33.7*

0.60^a

1.67

PPN

48.9^t

16.2^b

33.6

1.67^b

90.1^s

8.34

< 0.01

0.669

PPB

50.3°

10.34

57.3ª

32.3

0.56^b

3 33

1.67

PPR

49.0¹

16.6^a

32.8^t

89.6ⁿ

8.80

< 0.01

0.696

Results





(PPP)

Tab.2 - Red Shell Eggs: Physical Characteristics on Breed Comparisons

	PER	PRM	PRL	PPP
Egg weight, g	57.7*	60.1 ^a	59.4ª	48 ^b
Shell,%	8.44°	9.59ª	9.12 ^b	10^{a}
Albumen, %	60.8 ^a	58.1°	61.5*	59.8 ^b
Yolk, %	30.8 ^{ab}	32.2ª	29.4 ^b	30.2 ^b
Yolk/albumen	0.51 ^b	0.56*	0.48 ^b	0.51 ^b
Meat spots, %	5°	32 ^b	35*	17^{6}
Blood spots, %	1.7*	6.7 ^b	3.6 ^b	0°

Tab.4 - Red Shell Eggs: Chemical Characteristics on Breed Comparisons

			-	
	PER	PRM	PRL	PPP
		Yolk		
Moisture, %	48.6 ^b	49.1 ^b	48.5 ^b	50.1ª
Protein, %	16.2°	15.6 ^b	15.2°	16.1ª
Lipid, %	33.5 ^b	34.1 ^b	35.3°	32.7°
Ashes, %	1.74	1.78	1.71	1.84
		lbumen		
Moisture, %	89.1	88.9	88.6	88.6
Protein, %	9.25	9.44	9.72	9.73
Lipid, %	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01
Ashes, %	0.73°	0.691 ^{ab}	0.687 ^{ob}	0.682 ^b

Level of significance: P <0.05; Statistical analysis: ANOVA and Tukey's test

Dr. Filippo Cendron

Contact

University of Padova - Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment (DAFNAE)

Tel : +39 049 8272632 **Cel** : +39 3497627368

Mail : filippo.cendron@unipd.it

Skype : filippo.cendron

sta R ata I 1)

Robusta Lionata (PRL)

Polverara

Bianca

(PPB)